

RESEARCH REPORT

A *detailed* brief.

I want a very detailed review on all data center projects in New York that are under planning, construction or any stage of approval. Look up both the project, the financing involved, the contractors involved, any tenders related to them, any permits that are in the stage of being granted or have already been granted. What is the state of the interconnection? Where are they at the interconnection queue? What are the power backups? Look, do a very, very deep dive on all the projects in the state of New York that are not already operational.

GENERATED

April 16, 2026

TELBO RG RESEARCH AGENT

The findings support a deep dive on a limited set of named New York projects and on statewide large-load regulatory conditions, but they do not support a complete, verified inventory of every non-operational project in the state.

The clearest project-level evidence in this set centers on Stream U.S. Data Centers’ Project Double Reed at STAMP and NYISO large-load queue entries such as 1 Gig Data Center East Fishkill, Sabey’s St. Lawrence project, and North Country Data Center load expansion.

Page 1 should separate verified project facts from statewide context and clearly flag where financing, contractors, tenders, permits, backup power, and queue position remain sparse or unverified.

Project	Location	Current stage	Interconnection / load status	Permitting / regulatory status	Key watchpoint / source strength
Project Double Reed (Stream U.S. Data Centers)	STAMP, 6840 Crosby Rd, Town of Alabama, Genesee County	Planning / environmental review; not operational [1][2]	No project-specific NYISO queue entry evidenced in the findings; statewide NYISO materials show large-load projects above threshold enter NYISO load-interconnection procedures [3][4]	GCEDC received Stream’s application on Dec. 12, 2025 for a multi-building data center at STAMP; GCEDC accepted lead-agency responsibility for coordinated SEQRA review on Feb. 5, 2026 [1]	Strongest project-specific permitting evidence in this set; financing, contractors, backup-power inventory, and queue position remain unverified in the findings [1]
1 Gig Data Center	East Fishkill, Dutchess County	Queue-listed large-load project; development stage beyond queue entry not evidenced [5]	NYISO queue snippet identifies “1 Gig Data Center East Fishkill, NY,” queue no. 45855, 1,000 MW summer / 1,000 MW winter, type L, zone H [5]	No project-specific permit or local approval record evidenced in the findings [5]	Strong queue evidence, weak permitting/financing/contractor evidence [5]

Project	Location	Current stage	Interconnection / load status	Permitting / regulatory status	Key watchpoint / source strength
SDC St. Lawrence (Sabey Data Center Properties, LLC)	St. Lawrence County	Queue-listed large-load project; construction/approval stage not evidenced [6]	NYISO queue snippet identifies “Sabey Data Center Properties, LLC, SDC St. Lawrence,” interconnection request dated Dec. 20, 2021, 120 MW summer / 120 MW winter, type L, zone E [6]	No project-specific permit or local approval record evidenced in the findings [6]	Strong queue evidence, weak project-development detail in this set [6]
North Country Data Center load increase	Former Reynolds Substation, St. Lawrence County	Expansion / load increase concept evidenced in queue; operational status of existing facility not resolved in findings [7]	NYISO queue snippet identifies “Load Increase at North Country Data Center (Former Reynolds Substation) to 435 MW,” queue no. 43852, type L, zone E [7]	No project-specific permit package evidenced in the findings [7]	Strong queue evidence, but inclusion in a “non-operational projects” census is only partial because the queue item is a load increase at an existing named site [7]

- The evidence base supports only a small number of named New York projects plus statewide large-load context, not a complete statewide census of all non-operational data center projects [1][5][6][7].
- Project Double Reed has the clearest approval-path evidence because the findings include a dated SEQRA lead-agency resolution tied to Stream U.S. Data Centers’ application for a multi-building data center at STAMP [1].
- The NYISO evidence is strongest for load/interconnection visibility: as of July 2025, NYISO reported 29 large-load projects in the queue totaling nearly 6,055 MW, and its procedures apply to qualifying large loads above specified MW / voltage thresholds [4][8].
- Financing, contractor awards, tenders, and backup-power inventories are largely not publicly evidenced for the named projects in this findings set; where signals exist, they are statewide or directional rather than project-specific [9][10].
- New York’s statewide risk backdrop is active: NYISO is reforming its load-interconnection process in response to rapid large-load growth, and a 2026 state bill proposed a moratorium on new data center permits [3][11].

Page 1 sources:

[1] GCEDC SEQRA lead-agency resolution for Project Double Reed — <http://www.gcedc.com/file-library/100319/STREAMUSDataCentersLLC.SEQRLeadAgencyResolution.Approved.2.5.26.pdf>

[2] Stream U.S. Data Centers development application materials — <https://www.gcedc.com/file-library/100319/STREAMUSDataCentersLLC.SEQRDevelopmentApplication.4.6.26.III.S.EAFPart1Form.pdf>

[3] NYISO Load Interconnection Process presentation —

https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/56802634/04_Load_interconnection_reform_2-3-26_TPAS_final.pdf/063ff31f-a5ad-0494-707d-3275f007d23a

[4] NYISO blog on energy-intensive projects in queue — https://www.nyiso.com/view-blog-carousel/-/asset_publisher/5397qT1ac7HE/content/energy-intensive-projects-in-nyiso-interconnection-queue

[5] NYISO queue entry for 1 Gig Data Center East Fishkill — <https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/1407078/NYISO-Interconnection-Queue.xlsx>

[6] NYISO queue entry for Sabey SDC St. Lawrence — <https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/1407078/NYISO-Interconnection-Queue.xlsx/b91b6960-7a16-17a2-4b21-862991469bc6?t=1676069140232>

[7] NYISO queue entry for North Country Data Center load increase — <https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/1407078/NYISO-Interconnection-Queue.xlsx/56a0d3do-2b2d-2767-2def-1d227d5d78fd?t=1614982087576>

[8] NYISO 2025 Power Trends — <https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/2223020/2025-Power-Trends.pdf>

[9] NYSIF procurement RFP result set; no project-specific DC tenders evidenced — https://www3.nysif.com/-/media/Files/ADMINISTRATION/2026_PROCUREMENT/PROCUREMENTS/20260220_Sustainability-Data-Analytics-Services-RFP-2026-02-INV.pdf

[10] New York data-center job postings signal set — <https://www.linkedin.com/jobs/datacenter-jobs-albany-new-york-metropolitan-area>

[11] NY State Senate Bill S9144 — <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/bills/2025/S9144>

Verified project profiles

The findings name four projects or project-like load entries that can be described with direct evidence: Project Double Reed at STAMP, 1 Gig Data Center in East Fishkill, Sabey Data Center Properties' SDC St. Lawrence project, and the North Country Data Center load increase at the former Reynolds Substation [1][5][6][7]. The evidence quality is uneven: Project Double Reed has the strongest permitting trail, while the other three are primarily evidenced through NYISO queue references rather than local approvals, financing disclosures, or construction records [1][5][6][7].

Project	Sponsor / operator named in findings	Location	Known size / load	Stage evidenced	What is still unverified in findings
Project Double Reed	Stream U.S. Data Centers, LLC [1]	STAMP, 6840 Crosby Road, Town of Alabama, Genesee County [1]	Multi-building data center project; no MW figure evidenced in cited project documents provided here [1]	Application submitted; coordinated SEQRA review underway; GCEDC acting as lead agency [1]	NYISO queue position, utility service agreement, financing stack, contractors, tender packages, backup generation, cooling design [1][3]
1 Gig Data Center	Not named in queue snippet [5]	East Fishkill, Dutchess County [5]	1,000 MW summer / 1,000 MW winter; type L; queue no. 45855 [5]	Queue-listed large load [5]	Sponsor identity, local permits, land control, financing, contractors, backup power, construction status [5]

Project	Sponsor / operator named in findings	Location	Known size / load	Stage evidenced	What is still unverified in findings
SDC St. Lawrence	Sabey Data Center Properties, LLC [6]	St. Lawrence County [6]	120 MW summer / 120 MW winter; type L; IR date Dec. 20, 2021 [6]	Queue-listed large load [6]	Queue phase beyond entry, local permits, financing, contractors, backup power, construction status [6]
North Country Data Center load increase	North Country Data Center [7]	Former Reynolds Substation, St. Lawrence County [7]	Load increase to 435 MW; queue no. 43852; type L [7]	Queue-listed load increase / expansion [7]	Whether the underlying facility is already operating, expansion schedule, permits, financing, contractors, backup power [7]

Project Double Reed

GCEDC’s February 5, 2026 resolution states that on December 12, 2025 the agency received an application from Stream U.S. Data Centers, LLC for the purchase of land and for the proposed construction and operation of a multi-building data center project at STAMP, identified as Project Double Reed [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#). The same resolution states that GCEDC declared its intent to act as lead agency on December 18, 2025 and then formally accepted lead-agency responsibility for coordinated SEQRA review on February 5, 2026 after the 30-day notice period expired without a valid objection from an involved agency [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#). The project is therefore evidenced as being in planning / environmental review rather than in operation [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#).

1 Gig Data Center, East Fishkill

The NYISO interconnection queue search result includes a row for “1 Gig Data Center East Fishkill, NY,” with queue number 45855 and 1,000 MW summer and winter values, identified as type “L” in Dutchess County, zone H [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#). In this findings set, that queue row is the only direct evidence surfaced for the project; no local permit, sponsor, financing, or contractor record was captured [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#).

SDC St. Lawrence

The NYISO queue search result includes “Sabey Data Center Properties, LLC, SDC St. Lawrence,” with an interconnection request date of December 20, 2021 and 120 MW summer / 120 MW winter values, identified as type “L” in St. Lawrence County, zone E [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#). No permit docket, financing disclosure, contractor award, or backup-power record for this project was evidenced elsewhere in the findings [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#).

North Country Data Center load increase

The NYISO queue search result includes “North Country Data Center, Load Increase at North Country Data Center (Former Reynolds Substation) to 435MW,” queue number 43852, type “L,” in St. Lawrence County, zone E [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#). Because the queue item is explicitly a load increase at a named existing site, the findings only partially support

treating it as a purely non-operational project; what is evidenced is an expansion request, not a greenfield facility [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#).

Permits and approvals: Project Double Reed / STAMP

Project Double Reed has the most developed permitting record in the findings because the record includes a dated SEQRA lead-agency resolution and supporting STAMP environmental-review context [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#). The resolution states that STAMP has undergone prior environmental review including a Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement and multiple amended findings statements, updates, and negative declarations before the Stream application was filed [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#). That means the site has an established environmental-review framework, but the findings do not show final data-center-specific building permits, air permits, or utility construction permits for Project Double Reed itself [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#).

The resolution identifies the project as a Type I action under SEQRA and says GCEDC transmitted notice of intent to act as lead agency on December 23, 2025 to interested and involved agencies, with the 30-day period expiring on January 22, 2026 [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#). It also records objections from the Town of Shelby Town Board and Shelby Planning Board, and a separate objection from the Orleans County Legislature, while stating that NYSDEC confirmed on January 28, 2026 that it did not object to GCEDC being reestablished as lead agency [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#). Those facts indicate an active but still preliminary approval pathway with visible local and regional scrutiny [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#).

The STAMP materials also show that the broader park has long-running infrastructure and land-use review history, which is relevant because Project Double Reed is being reviewed within that framework rather than as an isolated greenfield parcel [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#). However, the findings do not include a final findings statement for Project Double Reed, a notice of permit issuance, or a construction-start authorization specific to the data center [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#).

Community-opposition reporting reinforces that the project remains in a contested approval phase. Local coverage cited in the findings describes protests against the proposed roughly \$19 billion STAMP data center project and ongoing disputes over power demand and farmland impacts [WHEC coverage](#) [WGRZ coverage](#) [13WHAM coverage](#). Those are useful for risk context, but they do not substitute for permit records [WHEC coverage](#).

Interconnection status and queue position

The strongest statewide interconnection evidence comes from NYISO materials rather than from project-specific utility agreements. NYISO's February 2026 presentation says its load-interconnection procedures apply to load interconnections greater than 10 MW connecting at 115 kV or above, or 80 MW or more connecting below 115 kV, including uprates to existing or previously planned load projects [NYISO Load Interconnection Process presentation](#). The same presentation says NYISO's role focuses on determining reliability impacts during the System Impact Study, that the SIS is informational and non-binding, and that facilities studies and a two-party interconnection agreement with the connecting transmission owner follow if the customer elects to proceed [NYISO Load Interconnection Process presentation](#).

NYISO also states that the pace and size of new load interconnection requests in New York has grown dramatically and that numerous manufacturing and data center projects are projected to be constructed over the next decade [NYISO Load Interconnection Process presentation](#). Its August 2025 blog says that as of July 2025 there were 29 large-load projects in the

queue totaling nearly 6,055 MW [NYISO blog on energy-intensive projects](#). NYISO's 2025 Power Trends report similarly says that as of April 2025 there were 20 large-load projects in the queue accounting for roughly 4,000 MW, up from six large-load projects totaling 1,045 MW in 2022 [NYISO 2025 Power Trends](#).

Project / queue item	Queue evidence in findings	What the queue evidence shows	What it does not show
1 Gig Data Center East Fishkill	NYISO queue row [5]	Queue no. 45855; 1,000 MW summer / winter; type L; Dutchess County; zone H [5]	Study phase, upgrade cost, CTO, interconnection agreement status, in-service date [5][3]
SDC St. Lawrence	NYISO queue row [6]	Sabey Data Center Properties, LLC; IR date Dec. 20, 2021; 120 MW summer / winter; type L; St. Lawrence County; zone E [6]	Current study milestone, upgrade scope, agreement status, in-service date [6][3]
North Country Data Center load increase	NYISO queue row [7]	Load increase to 435 MW at former Reynolds Substation; queue no. 43852; type L; St. Lawrence County; zone E [7]	Whether facilities study is complete, whether expansion is proceeding, upgrade cost, agreement status [7][3]
Project Double Reed	No project-specific queue row evidenced [1][3]	Only that a project of this scale would likely be subject to NYISO or transmission-owner procedures depending on voltage and MW thresholds [3]	Actual queue number, study phase, CTO, upgrade scope, agreement status [1][3]

A key limitation is that the queue snippets surfaced in the findings do not provide queue position ranking, study completion dates, or network-upgrade cost assignments for the named projects [NYISO Interconnection Queue NYISO Load Interconnection Process presentation](#). NYISO explicitly says non-binding good-faith estimates of cost and time to construct potential network upgrades are not provided as part of the SIS and are instead determined during the facilities study with the connecting transmission owner [NYISO Load Interconnection Process presentation](#). For decision-making, that means queue presence alone is evidence of a serious load request, but not of readiness to energize [NYISO Load Interconnection Process presentation](#).

Financing and economic-development support

The findings provide very limited project-specific financing evidence. For Project Double Reed, the clearest public-support evidence is institutional rather than capital-structure disclosure: the project is being processed through GCEDC within the STAMP development framework, and the application was submitted to an industrial-development / economic-development body that has been involved in STAMP land and infrastructure development [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#). That supports the existence of an economic-development pathway, but it does not disclose debt providers, equity partners, tax-equity structures, or final incentive awards [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#).

The advocacy and media results characterize the STAMP proposal as a roughly \$19 billion project, which is useful as a public narrative marker but is not a financing document and does not identify committed capital sources [WHEC coverage 13WHAM coverage](#). No IDA PILOT resolution, sales-tax abatement approval, bond inducement resolution, or Empire State Development award specific to Project Double Reed was surfaced in the findings [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#).

For the queue-listed projects in East Fishkill and St. Lawrence County, the findings contain no project-specific financing disclosures at all; the only evidence is the NYISO queue presence itself [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#) [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#). SEC results for Equinix and Digital Realty confirm that those companies are active data-center operators with access to public capital markets, but those filings do not tie disclosed financing to any specific non-operational New York project in this findings set [Equinix 2025 10-K](#) [Digital Realty 2025 10-K](#).

Contractors, tenders, and procurement signals

The findings do not provide project-specific contractor awards or tender packages for the named New York projects. The procurement PDF search surfaced a New York State Insurance Fund sustainability analytics RFP and other unrelated public procurements, but not a construction tender, EPC solicitation, or commissioning package tied to Project Double Reed, East Fishkill, Sabey St. Lawrence, or North Country Data Center [NYSIF RFP](#).

Job-posting and LinkedIn signals show that New York has active hiring demand for data-center technicians, construction managers, commissioning engineers, and project managers, including Albany-area and New York City-area roles [LinkedIn jobs in Albany metro](#) [Indeed data center jobs in New York](#). Those signals support a broader market conclusion that mission-critical construction and operations talent is being sought in New York, but they do not identify contractors on the named projects [LinkedIn jobs in Albany metro](#).

LinkedIn company and personnel results mention firms commonly active in data-center delivery—such as Turner Construction, Mortenson, Holder Construction, DPR Construction, Vantage Data Centers, STACK Infrastructure, QTS, Oracle, Equinix, and Digital Realty—but the surfaced snippets are mostly profile pages or generic hiring posts rather than project-specific New York award notices [Turner profile result](#) [Mortenson profile result](#) [Oracle Albany job result](#). As a result, the findings support only a directional statement that the contractor ecosystem is active in New York’s mission-critical market, not that any of those firms are contracted on the named non-operational projects [Oracle Albany job result](#).

Backup power, cooling, and utility infrastructure

Project-specific backup-power evidence is sparse across the findings. The state environmental-permit, building-permit, and water-resource searches returned generic multi-provider results without named project records, so the findings do not evidence diesel-generator permits, gas-generation permits, battery-storage permits, or cooling-water permits for the named projects [state environmental permit search result](#) [state building permit search result](#). Because there are no URLs for those generic search returns, they cannot support project-level factual claims beyond the absence of surfaced records in this findings set.

For Project Double Reed, the available project documents establish that it is a multi-building data center proposal at STAMP, but they do not disclose the backup-power configuration, generator count, fuel type, UPS topology, or cooling system design in the cited materials provided here [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#). The same is true for the queue-listed East Fishkill, Sabey St. Lawrence, and North Country entries: the queue rows identify load magnitude, not onsite resilience architecture [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#) [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#) [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#).

The only strong utility-infrastructure conclusion supported by the findings is statewide: NYISO says large-load interconnections can materially affect reliability margins, interface transfer limits, voltage performance, and the need for system upgrades, and it notes that developers’ willingness to bring generation to supply all or part of demand is a relevant

reliability consideration [NYISO Load Interconnection Process presentation](#). That is important context for backup-power and onsite-generation planning, but it is not evidence that any named project has committed to a specific onsite generation scheme [NYISO Load Interconnection Process presentation](#).

Statewide regulatory and community context

New York’s statewide regulatory backdrop for large-load projects is tightening. NYISO’s February 2026 presentation says the pace and size of new load interconnection requests has grown dramatically and that the ISO is pursuing revisions intended to improve predictability, evaluate broader transmission-system impacts, clarify modification processes, and define milestones for inclusion of large-load projects in reliability planning [NYISO Load Interconnection Process presentation](#). The same presentation lays out a 2026 schedule for discussing concepts, straw proposals, tariff revisions, committee review, and a year-end FERC filing [NYISO Load Interconnection Process presentation](#).

NYISO’s 2025 Power Trends report says large-load projects in the queue grew from six projects totaling 1,045 MW in 2022 to 20 projects totaling roughly 4,000 MW as of April 2025 [NYISO 2025 Power Trends](#). Its August 2025 blog updates that figure to 29 large-load projects totaling nearly 6,055 MW as of July 2025 [NYISO blog on energy-intensive projects](#). Those documents together show that data-center and other energy-intensive loads are now a system-planning issue, not just a local permitting issue [NYISO 2025 Power Trends](#).

Legislative risk is also visible. The findings include New York State Senate Bill S9144, introduced in the 2025-2026 session, which would impose a moratorium on data center permit issuance [NY State Senate Bill S9144](#). Secondary legal and policy commentary in the findings says the bill would immediately affect pending and future data-center development if enacted [DLA Piper alert Nixon Peabody alert](#). Those are not enacted-law records, but they show that statewide policy risk is material enough to be tracked [NY State Senate Bill S9144](#).

Community opposition is strongest in the findings around STAMP. Multiple cited articles describe protests, disputes over farmland conversion, power demand, and local impacts tied to the proposed Stream project in Genesee County [WHEC coverage WGRZ coverage 13WHAM coverage](#). The findings also include advocacy-search references to opposition in Lysander / Baldwinsville and broader national reporting on local resistance to AI data centers, but those items were not tied to verified project dossiers in this set [Facebook opposition post result New York Times national/local opposition coverage](#).

What to watch next

Project / topic	Why it matters	Next evidenced watchpoint
Project Double Reed	It has the strongest documented approval path and the most visible community scrutiny [1][12]	Any GCEDC / SEQRA findings statement, permit issuance, or utility-service filing tied to Stream’s STAMP application [1][2]
1 Gig Data Center East Fishkill	It is the largest named queue item in the findings at 1,000 MW [5]	Any local permit filing or updated NYISO queue milestone that identifies sponsor, study phase, or CTO [5][3]
SDC St. Lawrence	It is a named sponsor-backed queue entry with a 2021 IR date [6]	Any updated queue status, facilities-study milestone, or local permit record in St. Lawrence County [6][3]

Project / topic	Why it matters	Next evidenced watchpoint
North Country Data Center expansion	It is a large 435 MW load increase at a named site [7]	Clarification of whether the expansion is advancing and whether associated permits or utility agreements surface [7][3]
Statewide NYISO reform	Process changes can alter timing, transparency, and upgrade treatment for all large loads [3]	NYISO committee review, tariff revisions, and FERC filing milestones scheduled through 2026 [3]
Statewide moratorium risk	A permit moratorium would directly affect pending and future projects [11]	Movement of S9144 or successor legislation in the New York Legislature [11]

Across the named projects, the most actionable distinction is between projects with a visible local approval trail and projects visible only through the NYISO queue. Project Double Reed is the only project in this findings set with a documented local environmental-review pathway and named applicant [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#). East Fishkill, SDC St. Lawrence, and North Country are currently stronger as power-demand signals than as fully documented development dossiers because the findings do not yet connect them to local permits, contractors, or financing records [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#) [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#) [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#).

Assumptions

- **Partial** — This brief treats NYISO queue-listed large-load entries with data-center names as relevant to the user's request, even when local permit records were not surfaced, because the queue is the strongest direct evidence available for those projects [NYISO Interconnection Queue](#).
- **Validated** — Project Double Reed is treated as non-operational because the cited record is an application and SEQRA lead-agency action for a proposed multi-building data center, not an operating-facility record [GCEDC lead-agency resolution](#).
- **Unverified** — The findings do not validate that the named queue projects exhaust the universe of non-operational New York data-center projects; they only identify the projects that were directly evidenced in this research set [NYISO blog on energy-intensive projects](#).
- **Validated** — Public media references to project dollar values or controversy are used only as context, not as proof of financing close, permit issuance, or construction start [WHEC coverage](#).
- **Partial** — Absence of surfaced contractor, tender, backup-power, or financing records in this brief means those items were not evidenced in the findings set, not that they do not exist in underlying local or private records [NYSIF RFP](#).

Scope and limitations

- This brief follows the evidence provided and therefore does **not** deliver a complete statewide census of every non-operational New York data-center project.
- Project-level coverage is strongest where the findings included direct documents or queue rows; it is weakest on financing, contractors, tenders, backup power, and local building permits.

- Several state-search tools returned generic multi-provider results without usable project URLs, so those searches could inform gap identification but not support detailed citations.
- Queue evidence shows load requests and some project naming, but it does not by itself establish construction readiness, final utility agreements, or commercial-operation timing.
- Signals from jobs and LinkedIn were used only as directional context because they were not sufficiently project-specific to serve as primary evidence for named New York developments.

Data provenance

This brief draws on evidence from project-dataset searches, NYISO interconnection materials, New York state regulatory searches, signals, federal portal searches, cached/extracted documents, web PDF extraction, and one enrichment pass, all scoped to New York according to the metadata supplied. The most useful families were interconnection materials and extracted/project documents, especially the NYISO queue and the GCEDC/STAMP SEQRA resolution. Freshness is mixed: several core sources are from 2025-2026, but some queue-linked workbook references point to older snapshots and some state/federal search results were generic rather than project-specific. No gap-fill reruns were recorded in metadata, and no quantitative quality scores were supplied.

Sources

Interconnection

- <https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/1407078/NYISO-Interconnection-Queue.xlsx>
- <https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/1407078/NYISO-Interconnection-Queue.xlsx/b91b6960-7a16-17a2-4b21-862991469bc6?t=1676069140232>
- <https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/1407078/NYISO-Interconnection-Queue.xlsx/56a0d3d0-2b2d-2767-2def-1d227d5d78fd?t=1614982087576>
- https://www.nyiso.com/view-blog-carousel/-/asset_publisher/5397qT1ac7HE/content/energy-intensive-projects-in-nyiso-interconnection-queue
- <https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/2223020/2025-Power-Trends.pdf>
- https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/56802634/04_Load_interconnection_reform_2-3-26_TPAS_final.pdf/063ff31f-a5ad-0494-707d-3275f007d23a
- <https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/35688159/2023-NYISO-Interconnection-Process-Report.pdf>

Documents and project materials

- <http://www.gcedc.com/file-library/100319/STREAMUSDataCentersLLC.SEQRLeadAgencyResolution.Approved.2.5.26.pdf>
- <https://www.gcedc.com/file-library/100319/STREAMUSDataCentersLLC.SEQRDevelopmentApplication.4.6.26.III.S.EAFPart1Form.pdf>
- <https://www.gcedc.com/file-library/100319/STREAMUSDataCentersLLC.SEQRDevelopmentApplication.4.6.26.III.T.SupplementalInformation.pdf>

Regulatory / legislative / policy context

- <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/bills/2025/S9144>
- <https://www.dlapiper.com/insights/publications/2026/02/new-york-proposes-moratorium-on-data-center-permits>
- <https://www.nixonpeabody.com/insights/alerts/2026/02/11/new-york-state-proposes-sweeping-data-center-moratorium-and-regulatory-review-s9144>

Media and community context

- <https://www.whcc.com/top-news/protesters-call-to-cancel-19-billion-data-center-project-planned-for-genesee-county-farmland/>
- <https://www.wgrz.com/article/money/business/sides-continue-to-feud-over-massive-data-center-project-proposed-for-genesee-county/71-3d3190ef-e985-4f74-b747-7669e0b19691>
- <https://13wham.com/news/local/proposed-data-center-in-genesee-county-draws-community-concerns-stamp-stream-town-of-alabama-ny-western-new-york-wny-science-technology-and-advanced-manufacturing-park-tonawanda-seneca-nation-reservation-iroquois-national-wildlife-refuge>
- <https://www.nytimes.com/2026/03/26/business/economy/ai-data-centers-construction-local-opposition.html>
- <https://www.facebook.com/groups/184877109887679/posts/1277472770628102/>

Signals and market context

- <https://www.linkedin.com/jobs/datacenter-jobs-albany-new-york-metropolitan-area>
- <https://www.indeed.com/q-data-center-l-new-york,-ny-jobs.html>
- <https://myjobsny.usnlx.com/albany-ny/senior-data-center-construction-manager/2CD7C71095334B30B383D18B6F5B0463/job/>
- <https://www.linkedin.com/in/landtroop>
- <https://www.linkedin.com/in/nick-turner>

Financial filings

- <https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1101239/000110123926000032/eqix-20251231.htm>
- <https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1297996/000110465926015365/dlr-20251231x10k.htm>

Procurement search result referenced for absence of project-specific tenders

- https://ww3.nysif.com/-/media/Files/ADMINISTRATION/2026_PROCUREMENT/PROCUREMENTS/20260220_Sustainability-Data-Analytics-Services—RFP-2026-02-INV.pdf